

TO : STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

RE: SR 520

I would like to lend my support of the PACIFIC INTERCHANGE plan.

After attending meetings at a number of presentation venues, I cannot imagine any other alternative!! The design work done by citizens in the grassroots organization is extraordinary. It is a privilege to meet them and hear about the logical and promising Pacific Interchange ideal.

PLEASE CHOOSE THIS PLAN!!!! Our quality of life is suffering with ugly freeways that cut through our neighborhoods. I am willing to pay much more taxes and/or tolls for a smooth, environmentally beneficial plan, and I think others would too. I live in the city of Seattle because it is beautiful and convenient. However, traffic is getting unbearable in many areas. There is no way to get north on Montlake Blvd., or south, without wasting time, gas and brain cells from frustration. No bus is reliable because of the traffic. Walking is an unpleasant experience because of the stalled traffic fumes.

Yes, everything costs money. But please invest in our region's future.

Thank you,



Teresa S. Conkling  
2502 E. Roanoke St.  
Seattle, WA 98112

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: LOVEDAY Last Name: CONQUEST  
Organization/Membership Affiliation: UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
Address: 2515 E. ROANOKE E-mail:  
City: SEATTLE State: WA Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I support the 6-lane alternative WITH PACIFIC STREET INTERCHANGE.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0321-001 |

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Last Name: conrad

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: E-mail:

City: State: Zip Code: 98105

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

Please think of another design. This is so harmful to our city. The impacts of construction at hospital and stadium area are terrible.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0322-001

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: linda

Last Name: daniel

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 1123 20th ave. e.

E-mail: ldaniel@windermere.com

City: seattle

State: wa

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

The Pacific Street Interchange seems to be the intelligent alternative. Win win for everyone who drives to the U Village or Laurelhurst, Ravenna and other neighborhoods north of the ship canal. It will save time and beautify the entire area. Husky game-goers and the UW should be grateful for such a brilliant conception.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0323-001

1

2

STATEMENT OF ELIZA DAVIDSON

3

I-0324-001 4 My name is Eliza Davidson. There are several things  
5 that I'm concerned about.

6 I guess the first thing that comes to mind is the  
7 cultural-resources portion of the EIS completely neglects to  
8 mention the Olmstead Brothers Park and Boulevard System  
9 which goes right through the project area. The Arboretum  
10 and the boulevard as well as the University of Washington  
11 campus and Montlake and Interlaken Parks are all part of a  
12 system that is known all over the world and is one of the  
13 three best in the United States.

I-0324-002 4 In addition, the impacts on all of those resources  
15 will be significantly worse than they already are. And the  
16 Arboretum will receive, under all of the proposals that are  
17 shown, will receive a tremendous amount of traffic onto the  
18 boulevard. That is a park department boulevard originally  
19 intended to be a continuous pleasure drive through the city,  
20 and it would de facto become a main arterial and even more  
21 of an access route to 520 than it now is.

22 I have heard that WSDOT itself has said, with the  
23 Pacific Interchange option, there will be six times as many  
24 cars per day that will be traveling through the Arboretum.  
25 And these impacts need to be avoided, not mitigated.

I-0324-002 1 There's federal regulation that requires that you take very  
2 careful consideration of these issues that I'm raising.

I-0324-003 3 What I want to recommend out of that is that all  
4 alternatives that have ramps in and out of the Arboretum and  
5 Lake Washington Boulevard be eliminated from consideration.

6 Second, the impact on the Arboretum and actually the  
7 entire area is enormous under the Pacific Interchange  
8 option. Instead of concentrating and minimizing the  
9 footprint of the new bridge and associated links, it expands  
10 and spreads it visually and every which way into the  
11 university. And it's an enormous and incredibly intrusive  
12 approach.

I-0324-004 13 I support adding lids. I object to the fact that the  
14 four-lane alternative presented in the EIS showed no lids  
15 and the six-lane alternative showed lids. It seems biased  
16 to me because I have been told the four-lane alternative can  
17 accommodate lids as easily as the six-lane alternative.

I-0324-005 18 I do not understand why a tunnel option, at least  
19 through the Montlake to I-5 corridor, was not considered. I  
20 understand that the reject -- the tunnel was evaluated by a  
21 bridge contractor consultant briefly and that the outcome of  
22 that study was that it would be 10 times more expensive than  
23 any tunnel in the world to build a tunnel version of the 520  
24 project. I wonder why there was not a tunnel engineer who  
25 was consulted instead. I think that that question needs to

I-0324-005 | 1 be revisited and that option needs to be brought back in to  
2 the EIS system.

I-0324-006 | 3 I am concerned that there's an emphasis on moving  
4 single-occupancy cars rather than moving people. The  
5 four-lane option has no provision for high-occupancy  
6 vehicles and for transit getting priority. That means that  
7 high-occupancy vehicles and transit will be stuck in the  
8 two-hour commute from 405 to I-5 along with everyone else in  
9 the year 2030. This is completely unacceptable. The bridge  
10 should be built in a way that encourages and expedites  
11 people's movement back and forth by other modes than  
12 single-occupancy vehicles.

I-0324-007 | 13 The placing of tolls on the 520 bridge is very unfair  
14 to poorer people who are increasingly forced to live further  
15 and further out from the city. It's an environmental  
16 justice issue which was not sufficiently addressed in the  
17 EIS.

I-0324-008 | 18 The impacts on the wetlands and on the natural  
19 environment are extreme in the Pacific Interchange option  
20 and not good in the six-lane option. That's because the  
21 footprint is larger and larger and the damage and loss of  
22 wetlands is greater and greater. It's, it seems critical to  
23 me that more attention be given to preserving valuable  
24 wetlands which support endangered salmon and which already  
25 are a major resource to the people of the Puget Sound area,

I-0324-008

1 especially Seattle residents.

2 I support a package which is environmentally sensitive  
3 and avoids unnecessary expense, resulting in huge impacts of  
4 infrastructure on neighborhoods, on the environment, on  
5 precious historic resources that are not replaceable.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATEMENT OF JUDITH DIBUZ

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I-0325-001 | My name is Judith Dibuz. There are a few things that I believe are important in making a decision about the design. One of them -- the most important one in my opinion -- is that we use mass transit to the fullest possible, and that mass transit is connected with other means of transportation so that we get great benefits from it.

Protecting nature and the environment just for the people living around or nearby is also very important, I believe.

I, personally, would much rather pay much higher taxes for better solutions. It is a little bit difficult for me to talk about the Pacific interchange and about decisions in general because I live on the corner, and I want to be sure that whatever I say is not misunderstood as trying to protect my home -- and I wouldn't mind terribly if my home needed was to be razed, and I have to live elsewhere. I want a very good solution is what I would like.

Right now I see the terrible bottleneck, and I think that current design is awful for the neighborhood as well as for everybody else.

There is an entirely separate thing that I have been thinking about, and I would like to mention it here now.

1           Entirely regardless of what we do as far as the design  
2           is concerned, I have been wondering has anybody thought  
3           about causing the sailboats to change their masts to  
4           something that either telescopes down or can be folded down  
5           in order that we don't have to open the bridge as many  
6           times as we do now.

7           My thinking is it probably would cost much less to  
8           alter the current masts or make this change than what we  
9           are saving in traffic problems. And I think that --  
10          especially as long as it is cheaper but even in any other  
11          way -- I think that the highway construction money could be  
12          used for that: like people wouldn't have to pay for their  
13          own boats transformation, but we would use public money for  
14          it or highway money for it. And I'd like to know whether  
15          someone has considered this. I definitely would like that  
16          attention is paid to my suggestion.

17                                   [Hearing ended 7:00 p.m.]

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATEMENT OF ANN DITTMAR

I-0326-001

Ann Dittmar. I live in the Montlake area, over by the Montlake playfield. And I am concerned about where the new bridge is going to go. And I am very happy if we go the DOT route. Let me see, here.

I am very pleased with Pacific Interchange because I'm hopeful there will be less traffic going across the Montlake Bridge which today is just very busy.

I will be curious to see how this is all going to work out because I also like the idea Jonathan Dubman created and some of the Montlake residents have supported where the 520 will go over Roanoke all the way across above Montlake. If they could do that version with the Pacific Interchange, connect them, I think that would be ideal.

What do you want me to say? Anything else? I'll be anxiously waiting to see what develops. And I hope it isn't going to cost too much, and I hope I live long enough to see it finished. I'm 80 now, and I want to see it finished. Okay. Thank you for having this means of doing it.

STATEMENT OF DAVID ECKERT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I-0327 001 | I'm David Eckert. I live at 6207 12th Avenue  
Northeast. I'm a sailboat owner and have a lot of friends  
that have sailboats. And I think the engineering of the  
four lane and six lane and doing away with the west high  
rise, lowering it to 25 feet puts a large burden on the  
boating public. Anybody with a large yacht or sailboat  
will have to go to Medina to get to Leschi and the south  
end of the lake.

So I think there should be an alternative to look at  
changing the drainage and some of the other features to  
accommodate at least a 40-foot or 45-foot boat-passage  
channel at the west end. Thank you.

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Last Name: Edmunds

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: E-mail:

City: State: Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.

I-0328-001

I am strongly in favor of the Pacific Street Interchange for two reasons. First, it makes no sense to me to rebuild a major commuter artery and not connect it to rapid transit. The idea is to get people out of their cars in the first place. With the connection they can leave their cars at a neighborhood park and ride and take rapid transit to the University interchange and redirect themselves to the East if that is where they're headed. The second reason is that as a resident of Montlake and an employee of the University of Washington I have walked to work and back for 20+ years. The big backup to the bridge access is coming from the North. Because of the topography of the area and the two lakes commuters who need to head East have to come through the already busy corridor leading to an historic bridge. To relocate their access to the East farther north moves that traffic along faster. Plus, many of them could well decide rapid transit makes more sense and actually leave their cars a lot closer to home.

How did you hear about this open house?

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: David

Last Name: Elliott

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 1852 E. Hamlin St.

E-mail: dellott8@hotmail.com

City: Seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

The Pacific Street Interchange is the clear winner.

1.As someone who jogs regularly and kayaks in the arboretum, the impact of the bridge on the arboretum is not as bad as the demonizers make it out to be, especially given the mitigations possible.

2. We need a decision now, not to keep chasing a perfect alternative that does not exist.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0329-001

9/18/06

Dear WSDOT,

RE: SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

I would like to see that people may be able to bike or walk across the bridge.

Sincerely,



Emmanuel Erault

4250 Whitman Ave N Apt 6  
Seattle, WA 98103

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Guy

Last Name: Fineout

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 2043 Minor Ave. E.

E-mail: guyfineout@hotmail.com

City: Seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98102

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

This seems like the best alternative to me. Much of the traffic on and off 520 at Montlake wants to be north of the ship canal, so it should enter and exit north of the ship canal - not over the Montlake Bridge. Please include good transit stations and bike lanes on the project. Good luck dealing with the University and the Montlake residents. Stick to your design principles and design a roadway that works for the greatest good for the most people.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0331-001

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Andy

Last Name: Goulding

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

SanerSolution@gmail.com

Address: 1056 25th E

E-mail: argoulding@AOL.COM

City: Sea

State: WA

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I support Saner Solution. Save the Arboretum and less traffic at intersection of Lake Wa. Blvd & Madison. No N/S connection thru Arboretum. Cheaper narrower span to Husky Stadium.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0332-001 |

STATEMENT OF JOHN HANSON

1

2

I-0333-001 3 My name is John Hanson. I live at 2502 Canterbury  
4 Lane East, Apartment No. 309. And I'd like to bring to the  
5 attention of the DOT that in their design -- the design has  
6 a very large negative impact on where I live from several  
7 standpoints.

8 Number one is the visual impact: Right now, I look  
9 across the present bridge, which has a bridge deck of about  
10 five feet off the water, and when I look across, I can see  
11 Union Bay; and I can see all the boats that come by through  
12 the -- on opening day.

13 As the proposal -- the proposed alternatives, either  
14 the four or six lane alternative -- I understand the height  
15 of the bridge deck there is going to be 50 feet off the  
16 water, and then there'll be an additional sound wall, which  
17 I haven't quite found out exactly how high it is. I can't  
18 seem to find out that information at this meeting.

19 But that will provide a visual impact that will block  
20 my views presently, which go from the -- I can see the  
21 Olympic Mountains over the Montlake Bridge to the -- almost  
22 the 180 or plus degrees to the east end of the present  
23 floating bridge and all the lake and the forest and the  
24 mountains in between.

I-0333-002 25 Also, speaking to the construction engineers that are

I-0333-002 1 here at this presentation, I'm told that there's going to  
2 be a temporary construction bridge built on the south side  
3 of the present bridge structure and which will be -- right  
4 now when I leave my dock at the Canterbury Shores  
5 Condominium, my route is about between 20 to 30 feet off  
6 the present bridge to take advantage of the deeper water  
7 there. I can't cut across north of the Edgewater  
8 Apartments because there's a lot of shoal area there;  
9 that's too shallow, and I don't have the sufficient depth  
10 in my sailboat to go across that area without going  
11 aground. So whatever construction bridge is there has got  
12 to take this into consideration and give me access to the  
13 lake.

I-0333-003 14 Also in the presentation, I see that their present  
15 west end elevation of 44 feet is going to be reduced to 25  
16 feet. Now I've sailed on Lake Washington for well over 25  
17 years, and predominately my sailing is north of the present  
18 bridge location. And so to sail on the north side of the  
19 bridge, I would have to travel to the south side of the  
20 proposed bridge to the east side and then go underneath the  
21 higher area there -- I guess that's about 70 feet that's  
22 proposed for clearance from the water -- the height of my  
23 mast is such that it would not clear the 25 feet that's  
24 being proposed at the west end of the bridge. And so this  
25 means a very inconvenient arrangement.

I-0333-003 1 Also sometimes if I had to do that, I might be in  
2 very, very choppy water. The water - you know, if there's  
3 a storm, and I'm having to come across the windward side of  
4 the bridge, the waves reflect back on the bridge and make a  
5 very, very dangerous seas to travel through.

I-0333-004 6 Also the noise level I'm sure even with the sound wall  
7 will still be detrimental to the environmental aspects of  
8 where I live.

I-0333-005 9 So what I'm urging the Department to do is to take a  
10 look at abandoning this type of a project and going to a  
11 submerged tube approach from I-5 to the west end of the  
12 floating bridge. It would be better environmentally, and  
13 it would be better from the visual impact standpoint, and  
14 it would be better from a noise standpoint. So I strongly  
15 urge the Department to investigate that further and take a  
16 positive approach to it. Thank you.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Iverson

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 2605 22nd Ave East

E-mail:

City: Seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

This concept is the best solution for Montlake corridor. It works!

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0334-001 |



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

### September 18, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS.  
 Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary.  
 Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.  
 Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.  
*Thank you for your comments!*

Please tell us your zip code: 98112

#### Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- Resident in the project area
- Cyclist
- Park user
- Commuter who uses SR 520
- Pedestrian
- Interested citizen
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

#### Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

##### Alternatives

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

##### Environmental Topics

- Construction
- Cultural & Historic Resources
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods & Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

##### Other Topics

- General Comment
- Urban Design

I-0335-001

In order to move traffic, it is ~~not~~ extremely important to remove the Montlake Blvd. bottleneck from its flow, as well as the interminable back-ups created from the raising of the drawbridge. If the objective is to facilitate public transportation connections, then the Pacific Interchange option is the only alternative that does so.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad       Postcard in mail       Community calendar  
 Poster       Email announcement       Project webpage  
 From a friend or neighbor       Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project  
 c/o Paul Krueger  
 414 Olive Way, Suite 400  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

**Comments must be postmarked by October 2, 2006.**

**Prefer email?**

Submit comments online at [www.SR520DEIScomments.com](http://www.SR520DEIScomments.com).

Email comments to: [SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov).

**Do you want to stay involved in this project?** We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: Elaine King

Address: 1896 E. Hamlin St.

City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98112

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**Also – check out our website at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge)**

STATEMENT OF MAREANNE KOGON

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I-0336-001 | Mareanne Kogon. I would like to voice an opinion. I prefer the six lane because it has the lid. If we could have the four lane with the lid, that would be the best choice possible.

I would like the lowest impact for the Foster Island marsh land wetland area in terms of bird nesting, animal life, and wetland impact. And so whichever one of the designs impacts that the least -- it appears to me that perhaps the six lane has the least impact. They probably all have similar. That's it.

And I hate the idea of the second Montlake Bridge. That's an historic bridge and don't touch it in any way shape or form. Thank you.

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Sally

Last Name: Richardson

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 2640 E Valley

E-mail:

City: Seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I-0337-001 | Shocking to learn that the Pacific St Interchange encourages North/South traffic to cut through the Arboretum. You seem to have underestimated the instincts of human beings to choose the shortest route between two points, howevermuch you acknowledge that there will be some increase in traffic in the Arboretum.

This traffic needs to be decreased, not increased. Do not allow this option - it defiles the very nature of the Arboretum. Break the flow as it crosses 520. Let the North/South traffic use Montlake Blvd.

I-0337-002 | Also, all options promote autos too much. There should be dedicated mass transit on this new bridge, and it should be low-cost & supported by the tolls, so that it becomes more attractive (instead of the ever increasing bus fares we see now.) What would it take to coordinate with Metro?

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other KUOW

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATEMENT OF SANDRA KRAUS

I-0338-001 My name is Sandy, Sandra Kraus. Oh, and so I'm here to give you my comments about the 520 bridge replacement.

And I, I have no objection to either the four-lane or six-lane alternative and probably would lean towards the six-lane alternative, basically because I feel that, if we're going to spend all this money, we should get something for it. And it would be really wonderful to get that HOV lane installed.

I-0338-002 I guess what I am concerned with is the impact of noise in the various neighborhoods. I live in Portage Bay-Roanoke Park, so I wanted to make sure that either alternative would mitigate as much noise impact on our neighborhood.

I-0338-003 I'm also concerned with community continuity and would strongly recommend that the lid at Portage Bay be built for either the four or six-lane alternative. Right now it's only shown for the six lane. But our community needs connected -- whether or not it's a four lane or a six-lane alternative. So I would -- that would be my one major comment: Strongly recommend that the lid be included on both alternatives.

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Schuh

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: POB 17005

E-mail: schuh@farmdale.com

City: Seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98127-0705

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.

I-0339-001

- Either build light rail at the same time the new bridge is built or assertively accommodate its future construction. I am told that light rail on the new 520 bridge would not be able to connect to the planned North Link, an assertion that I can't accept. We are building both the bridge and North Link and we can design them concurrently to accommodate each other. The connection from the Husky Stadium station eastward to 520 could be just south of the Ship Canal. If the grade is 100' below lake level and it climbs at 5%, then only 2,000' of alignment is needed to reach the surface - this can be done well before Foster Island. The connection from 520 southward toward downtown Seattle would be a bit easier, as the North Link alignment climbs as it leaves Montlake. All three junctions should be built without grade crossings.

Building stations with different levels doesn't cut it. We can build stations that allow for "same platform" transfers, so let's do that. You know, do it right the first time.

I-0339-002

- Building lids over 520 at Montlake and 10th Ave. could be funded by leasing the space for mixed use. Bury our infrastructure! It's noisy and not exactly pretty (although it \*is\* vital).

- How do we decide how much to spend? Place before the voters a multiple choice ballot:

- How much shall we raise sales tax?
- 0
  - .1%
  - .2%
  - .3%

After the vote is tallied, start at the highest amount and work downward until a majority is reached. The amount that provides the final votes needed to achieve a majority is the amount supported by the voters. Simple. A similar method could be used to determine which of many projects (and options) to build.

Please send me a copy of this for my records. Please let me know if any of my comments need clarification or elaboration. Thank you.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Stephen

Last Name: Lang

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 20114 88th Ave. West

E-mail: slangdawg@comcast.netx

City: Edmonds

State: WA

Zip Code: 98026

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I-0340-001

I am especially opposed to the Pacific Street Interchange option. I think this option will destroy the Arboretum, its beauty and the wetlands. I also concerned about theadverse impact on one of the best medical centers in the country, the University of Washington and Husky Stadium. I am afraid we will lose patients and quality care givers if the Pacific Interchange option is adopted. We have something very special and I question how anyone that cares about this area would consider putting a bridge that is ugly, dangerous for users and will feed traffic through the Arboretum.

I also think the cost of the Pacific Steet Option is going to cost way more then is practicable. We have enough transportation failures. We don't need another one.

I-0340-002

Please consider very carefully and select the option with the second Montlake Bridge. Thank you. Stephen Lang

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Roberta

Last Name: Leonard

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 1838 east shelby street

E-mail: rca719@YAHOO.COM

City: seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I have lived with the Montlake traffic problem for 39 years. After spending considerable time studying this problem I feel strongly that the Pacific Interchange is the best way to go. All of the routes would have environmental impact and I feel in the long run this is really no worse then any of he others. I strongly urge you to choose this route. Thank you, Roberta Leonard

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0341-001

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Kristina Last Name: Mageau  
Organization/Membership Affiliation: N/A  
Address: 10706A Whitman Ave N E-mail: Kristina\_Mageau@hotmail.com  
City: Seattle State: WA Zip Code: 98133

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I-0342-001 | What is the projected weekly construction schedule for the 520 Project?

I-0342-002 | It appears that the water resources and wetlands will be taken over (more than now, at least) by this new project. How can you guarantee the safety and security of the animals and their natural resources?

I-0342-003 | I read that transit and carpools probably won't be tolled but that was obviously not a definite answer. What would be the basis for tolling transit and carpools? Also, how would transit and its commuters/riders be tolled? How would out-of-state drivers be tolled, assuming they don't buy the transponder card?

I-0342-004 | How would the homes on either side of the 520 bridge be disrupted by construction and the final completion of the proposed project?

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Mahon

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 2556 24th Avenue E

E-mail: Rmahon@perkinscoie.com

City: Seattle

State: WA

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I strongly support the Pacific Interchange alternative. It is the only alternative that offers transit connectivity, the only alternative that doesn't make local traffic worse, the only alternative that knits together the Montlake community.

The other 6 lane alternatives are unacceptable. It is crazy to spend billions on an SR 520 plan that fails to offer transit connectivity with the planned Sound Transit station at Husky Stadium. The 6 lane options have impacts in the Arboritum that are equal to the other 6 lane options, but without any offset benefits. The construction of a 9 lane Portage Bay viaduct would be a disaster for Portage Bay, North Capitol Hill, and Roanoke Park.

I strongly encourage the selection of the Pacific Interchange as the preferred alternative. I'd like to focus on how to mitigate the impacts of that alternative in the Arboritum.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0343-001

STATEMENT OF JANIS MALONEY

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I-0344-001 I'm Jan Maloney. I'm a merchant and a resident 56 years in Madison Park. I recognize that we want bicycle people around. Nobody from our area is going -- outside of somebody that wants to say, I walked across Lake Washington - they don't need a pedestrian bridge to get to the east side. They're not going to go over there to shop and work or what have you. We do not have the bicyclists from our area that would need that.

The cost of that is not well publicized. The people in the neighborhood are not aware -- they have not been contacted about their opinion to bring a bicycle path in there.

Regardless of the money, they're talking about coming in on a street which is a one-way street northbound. That is not acceptable. They're also talking about coming in on 37th, 38th/McGilvra. The streets are already -- there is no place to put a bicycle path; and part of the streets are so narrow, you can't even park on both sides.

So to go forward with this discussion and to authorize a stupid thing like this without talking to the neighbors, I find ridiculous. And they say they've had all these hearings. They don't seem to listen to the few people that do come.

I-0344-002 1 I served on the committee to research this in Madison  
2 Park. I've been to a number of other hearings. I have  
3 never once and in all the material that I have at home  
4 heard any statistics on the time and the cost to either  
5 repair the existing bridge or to build an identical one  
6 without impacting all the surrounding neighborhoods and  
7 making it wider like to the six lanes or to have the side  
8 parking there. I've never heard those numbers at all to  
9 compare to the ridiculous numbers for what they do want to  
10 build.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

### September 18, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS.  
 Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary.  
 Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.  
 Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.

*Thank you for your comments!*

Please tell us your zip code: 98112

#### Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- |  |                                     |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resident in the project area | <input type="checkbox"/> Cyclist    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park user          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commuter who uses SR 520     | <input type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interested citizen |
|  |                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____                   |

#### Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

##### Alternatives

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

##### Environmental Topics

- Construction
- Cultural & Historic Resources
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods & Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

##### Other Topics

- General Comment
- Urban Design

*Please address the noise problem!  
 We live on Roanoke, across from  
 present 520 off-ramp. The plaster  
 board in my house continues to crack  
 from steady vibration on pavement.*

I-0345-001

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail *Came the day of meeting*
- Community calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project  
c/o Paul Krueger  
414 Olive Way, Suite 400  
Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

**Comments must be postmarked by October 2, 2006.**

**Prefer email?**

Submit comments online at [www.SR520DEIScomments.com](http://www.SR520DEIScomments.com).

Email comments to: [SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov).

**Do you want to stay involved in this project?** We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: Joan Mann

Address: 2015 E, ROANOKE

City: SEATTLE State: WA Zip: 98112

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**Also – check out our website at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge)**



### How did you hear about this open house?

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project  
c/o Paul Krueger  
414 Olive Way, Suite 400  
Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

**Comments must be postmarked by October 2, 2006.**

#### Prefer email?

Submit comments online at [www.SR520DEIScomments.com](http://www.SR520DEIScomments.com).

Email comments to: [SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov).

**Do you want to stay involved in this project?** We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: Victoria Martensen

Address: (I'm on the mailing list!)

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**Also – check out our website at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge)**



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

### September 18, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS.  
 Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary.  
 Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.  
 Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.  
*Thank you for your comments!*

Please tell us your zip code: 98112-2722

#### Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- Resident in the project area
- Commuter who uses SR 520
- Cyclist
- Pedestrian
- Park user
- Interested citizen
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

#### Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

##### Alternatives

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

##### Environmental Topics

- Construction
- Cultural & Historic Resources
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods & Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

##### Other Topics

- General Comment
- Urban Design

I-0347-001

The Montlake access from LK Wash Blvd to 520 using a new traffic light to make the left turn onto the 520 W on ramps appears to make the Montlake Bridge area even more congested

Have bridge openings been factored in

### How did you hear about this open house?

Newspaper ad

Postcard in mail

Community calendar

Poster

Email announcement

Project webpage

From a friend or neighbor

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project  
c/o Paul Krueger  
414 Olive Way, Suite 400  
Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

**Comments must be postmarked by October 2, 2006.**

### Prefer email?

Submit comments online at [www.SR520DEIScomments.com](http://www.SR520DEIScomments.com).

Email comments to: [SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov).

**Do you want to stay involved in this project?** We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: John Monk — I am already on

Address: 2024 McGivra E the mailing list

City: Seattle State: Wa Zip: 98112-2722

E-mail: john@johnsprvilla.com

**Also – check out our website at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge)**

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Kathryn Last Name: Moogk Maly  
Organization/Membership Affiliation: neighbor and commuter  
Address: 200 17th ave E, #306 E-mail: kathrynmal@gmail.com  
City: Seattle State: WA Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.

I-0348-001 | 1) I am concerned about the current level of noise. My parents live on Lake Washington Blvd, near the overpass to MOHI. The current noise level is unacceptable. I am interested in extensive noise abatement during construction and in the final project.

I-0348-002 | 2) As a commuter who cycles and buses over the lake, I am most interested in the 6-lane option with the pacific interchange. This is why:  
  
--an HOV lane over the bridge will reward people who share the ride and/or bus. It currently takes my husband 45 minutes to travel from Montlake to Microsoft by bus. This short distance should not take that long!  
  
--the uninterrupted lid across 520 in Montlake will connect the neighborhoods and be more friendly for cyclists and walkers. It will be a great park space.  
  
--the Pacific interchange gives cyclists good access to the university and to the Burke Gilman Trail.

I-0348-003 | The most important thing to me is that we are rewarding people who walk, bike, bus and car share. To me this means good transit hubs, parks with bathrooms and water, HOV lanes, paths that are apart from the traffic.  
  
Thank you for this opportunity to comment, and for having so many knowledgeable people standing near the boards to answer questions. This was a very positive experience for me.

How did you hear about this open house?

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: Robert Last Name: Rosencrantz  
Organization/Membership Affiliation: Montlake Community Club  
Address: 1866 E. Shelby Street E-mail: roscncrantz6@hotmail.com  
City: Seattle State: WA Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I am President of the Montlake Community Club. In that role I have spoken with over 100 residents of the neighborhood about the SR520 replacement alternatives. It is clear that once people understand the benefits and challenges of each of the alternatives, they overwhelmingly choose 6-lanes with the Pacific Interchange. They do so somewhat because of its positive impacts on the neighborhood, much more because of the positive impacts a 6-lane Pacific Interchange will have for the City and Region. The comment I've most often heard about Pacific Interchange is "it just makes sense."

I have also had occasion to speak with residents of many other parts of the city about SR520. Typical of comments I've heard was from people in Columbia City who said "we'd like to be able to get to jobs on the Eastside more easily." Pacific Interchange will let them.

Taxpayers will be spending 3 billion dollars on light rail and 3 billion dollars on a new bridge. For 6 billion dollars they should at least be able to transfer between the two systems.

Our region's economy will increasingly benefit from the research corridor that is developing, extending from South Lake Union to the University of Washington to the Eastside. SR520 is the transportation backbone of this corridor, and the Pacific Interchange will tie them together.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0349-001

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: liam

Last Name: stacey

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 321 31st ave E

E-mail: LSTACEY@sccd.ctc.edu

City: seattle

State: wa

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

Traffic problems are not alleviated. This is evident from the facts

1. all west bound outflows are at capacity already, and the widening of 25th will only cause backups a few blocks northwards.

2. It is well established that if you build it, they will come. You can not build your way out of traffic problems.

Mass transit and encouraging people to live and work in the same areas are the only reasonable solutions.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0350-001

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: liam

Last Name: stacey

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 321 31sta ve E

E-mail: lstacey@sccd.ctc.edu

City: seattle

State: wa

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

It is unfair to toll the 520 when all other road improvements come from the general fund....

Let all road improvement and repair be paid for only with gas taxes. Notheing else is fair.

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0350-002

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: liaM

Last Name: STACEY

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 321 31St ave E

E-mail: lstacey@sccd.ctc.edu

City: seattle

State: wa

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

I am commenting on the location of the bike lane. I have written several emails and cards at previous public out reach events. I have not yet received an explainaiton for the persistance of the error in the bike lane plans.

The bike lane needs to be moved to the south side of the bridge. The reason is that our storms come from the south. On the I-90 brige, the mist from the cars is blown northwards and soaks cyclists who ride on a north side bike lane.

Any concern about high winds hitting cyclists should be quelled by the simple sollution of a plexiglass barrier. A plexiglass barrier would be safer than the type of railing that can be found on the i-90 bridge. If one were to fall on the I90 bridge, one would risk getting their limbs caught in the railing...

Having commuted across the I-90 bridge for years, I can attest that cyclists curse the planners who put the lane on the north side of the bridge. Please don't force us to curse you folks as well. We may be forced to write a story for the paper about our frusterated efforts to ensure that the bike lane is thoughtfully installed.

If the bridge is on the south side, a sound wall may be installed to prevent cyclists from being deafend by the nigh nois of cars driving in the rain (much higher than OSHA sandards.)

Thank you

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0350-003

Please enter your contact information below. (Last name and zip code are required to save comment.) If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

CommentDate: 9/18/2006

First Name: liam

Last Name: stacey

Organization/Membership Affiliation:

Address: 321 31sta ve E

E-mail: lstacey@sccd.ctc.edu

City: seattle

State: wa

Zip Code: 98112

Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

**Alternatives**

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

**Environmental Topics**

- Construction
- Cultural and Historic
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods and Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

**Other Topics**

- General Comment
- Urban Design

**Enter your comment below. Please be as specific as possible.**

In twenty years, what will fuel prices be? Do we want to build for a car centric transporttion system when local employment, telecommuting, and mass transit are the future? This is not in your eis! Shame on you!

**How did you hear about this open house?**

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community Calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other

I-0350-004

STATEMENT OF LIAM STACEY

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I-0351-001 This project enables a particular tragedy of the commons to happen. The tragedy of the commons in this case is our good city living, and the tragedy that this enables is the city in which everyone drives, everyone wants to be able to get everywhere quickly; and this plan enables everyone to become the polluter who drives and enables everyone to consume more fuel, to endanger more people as they try to ride their bicycle or walk; and it enables people to live further away from where they work which helps destroy communities and enables people to shop further from where they live which destroys communities.

We have the opportunity to build the city we want, and we have the opportunity to build a plan that enables this particular tragedy of the commons. Okay. Thank you.



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

### September 18, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS.  
 Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary.  
 Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.  
 Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.  
*Thank you for your comments!*

Please tell us your zip code: 98112

#### Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- Resident in the project area
- Cyclist
- Park user
- Commuter who uses SR 520
- Pedestrian
- Interested citizen
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

#### Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

##### Alternatives

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

##### Environmental Topics

- Construction
- Cultural & Historic Resources
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods & Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

##### Other Topics

- General Comment
- Urban Design

I-0352-001

*I am disappointed in the format of the public meeting. We citizens are not able to hear each others' concerns - & learn from each others' ideas because we are divided up by these many posters & explainers. I and others see this as "divide & conquer". 'give us democracy, not brain washing.*

*- L. AM STACEY*

### How did you hear about this open house?

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project  
c/o Paul Krueger  
414 Olive Way, Suite 400  
Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

**Comments must be postmarked by October 2, 2006.**

#### Prefer email?

Submit comments online at [www.SR520DEIScomments.com](http://www.SR520DEIScomments.com).

Email comments to: [SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov).

**Do you want to stay involved in this project?** We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: Liam STACEY

Address: ~~321 3rd~~

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**Also – check out our website at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge)**



# SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

## COMMENT FORM

### September 18, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS.  
 Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary.  
 Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.  
 Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.  
*Thank you for your comments!*

Please tell us your zip code: 98012

#### Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- Resident in the project area
- Cyclist
- Park user
- Commuter who uses SR 520
- Pedestrian
- Interested citizen
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

#### Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

##### Alternatives

- Comment on All Alternatives
- 4-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane Alternative
- 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
- 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
- 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
- Other 6-Lane Option

##### Environmental Topics

- Construction
- Cultural & Historic Resources
- Funding and Tolling
- Land and Structures
- Fish and Wildlife
- Neighborhoods & Communities
- Noise
- Other Environmental Effects
- Parks and Recreation
- Transportation and Transit
- Wetlands/Water Resources

##### Other Topics

- General Comment
- Urban Design

This Plan builds a city of car drivers.  
 - This plan enables people to satisfy their greed to drive & use up resources, ~~destroy~~ Destroy neighborhoods etc.

If we didn't have the option of commuting - we would work close to home, build our neighborhoods

This plan commits the sin of being

an enabler

### How did you hear about this open house?

- Newspaper ad
- Postcard in mail
- Community calendar
- Poster
- Email announcement
- Project webpage
- From a friend or neighbor
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Deposit your form in the boxes provided tonight or send your comment by mail to:

SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project  
c/o Paul Krueger  
414 Olive Way, Suite 400  
Seattle, Washington 98101-1209

**Comments must be postmarked by October 2, 2006.**

#### Prefer email?

Submit comments online at [www.SR520DEIScomments.com](http://www.SR520DEIScomments.com).

Email comments to: [SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov](mailto:SR520DEIScomments@wsdot.wa.gov).

**Do you want to stay involved in this project?** We send monthly email updates, and periodic mail announcements about upcoming project meetings. If you would like to be included on the mailing list, please fill in the following information:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

**Also – check out our website at [www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/projects/SR520Bridge)**